Case 3:13-mj-70931-MRGD Document 8 Filed 10/02/13 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 3: 13- MJ-70931 MAG.
Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRACED
Cleophas Kevin Kirksey,	OCT x 2 2013
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Speedy Trial Act from () , 2013 to () or the continuance outweigh the best interest of the publication of the	, 2013 and finds that the ends of justice served
The case is so unusual or so complex, d defendants, the nature of the proportion or law, that it is unreasonable to expect	the number of secution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
taking into account the exercise of due of	ny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would un counsel's other scheduled case commitmed See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	reasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unnecessary for effective preparation, takin See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	reasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ag into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 10/17	
	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	- Mulh
Authority for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney